

| <p align="center">ACI 2009 CONSTRUCTION PROJECT INSURANCE SPECIFICATIONS SURVEY (NON-OCIP/CCIP)</p> | <p>Expansion – (Airside) The project is a 4-gate, two level expansion of an existing, active concourse. The expansion will add approximately 60,000 square feet additional passenger concourse level hold rooms and 65,000 square feet apron level functional space. The project also includes four passenger-boarding bridges. Project includes demolition and excavation. Estimated Construction Cost - \$40 to \$45 million. Construction time frame – 22 months</p> | <p>Parking Structure (Landside) The project is a 1.2 million square foot, five level cast-in-place parking structure providing 3,700 parking spaces. Construction is in and around a congested, heavily traveled airport roadway system, and above an existing multi-tiered parking structure. Project includes demolition and excavation. Estimated Construction Cost - \$55 to \$60 million. Construction time frame – 24 months</p> | <p>Office Building (Landside) The project is a 9,000 square foot, 2-level structural steel office building additional to an existing, operating office building and adjacent to an airport exit roadway. Project includes demolition and excavation. Estimated Construction Cost - \$35 to \$40 million. Construction time frame – 18 months</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| <p>I. General Liability</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>1. General Liability Limits (Including excess/umbrella)</p> | <p>Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$300,000,000 Mode: \$10,000,000 (9) Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$300,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (6) Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$300,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (6) Number of respondents: 25</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>2. Statement that aggregate limits must be maintained throughout project.</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 76% No: 6 – 24% Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 18 – 75% No: 6 – 25% Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 76% No: 6 – 24% Number of respondents: 25</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>3. Agreement specifies coverage to include property damage and bodily injury equivalent to Insurance Services Office (ISO) Form No. CG 00 01 12 07</p> | <p>Yes: 20 – 80% No: 5 – 20% Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 79% No: 5 – 21% Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 76% No: 6 – 24% Number of respondents: 25</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>4. Agreement specifies products and completed operations coverages.</p> | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 23 – 96%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 1 – 4%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 24</td> <td>Number of respondents: 14</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 23 – 96% | # of years | No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (5) | Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 14 | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 22 – 96%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 1 – 4%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 23</td> <td>Number of respondents: 12</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 22 – 96% | # of years | No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5) | Number of respondents: 23 | Number of respondents: 12 | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 23 – 96%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 1 – 4%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 24</td> <td>Number of respondents: 12</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 23 – 96% | # of years | No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5) | Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 12 |
| Yes: 23 – 96% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: 22 – 96% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 23 | Number of respondents: 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: 23 – 96% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 1 – 4% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 5 years (5) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>5. Continuing coverage following substantial completion of work</p> | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 18 – 75%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 6 – 25%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 24</td> <td>Number of respondents: 11</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 18 – 75% | # of years | No: 6 – 25% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 11 | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 17 – 74%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 6 – 26%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 23</td> <td>Number of respondents: 11</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 17 – 74% | # of years | No: 6 – 26% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | Number of respondents: 23 | Number of respondents: 11 | <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Yes: 17 – 71%</td> <td># of years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No: 7 – 29%</td> <td>Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Number of respondents: 24</td> <td>Number of respondents: 11</td> </tr> </table> | Yes: 17 – 71% | # of years | No: 7 – 29% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 11 |
| Yes: 18 – 75% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 6 – 25% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: 17 – 74% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 6 – 26% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 23 | Number of respondents: 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes: 17 – 71% | # of years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No: 7 – 29% | Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 1 year (3) and 3 years (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>6. Agreement specifies that Additional Insured Endorsement for general liability has to be included along with a Certificate of Insurance.</p> | <p>Yes: 21 – 84% No: 4 – 16% Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 20 – 83% No: 4 – 17% Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 20 – 80% No: 5 – 20% Number of respondents: 25</p> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| II. Auto Liability | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Automobile Liability Limits | Landside | Landside | Landside | Landside | | |
| | Min: \$500,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (14) Number of respondents: 25 | Min: \$500,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (15) Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$500,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (16) Number of respondents: 24 | Airside | Airside | Airside |
| 2. MCS 90 Endorsement (transportation of hazardous materials) required when applicable. | Yes: 10 – 42% No: 14 – 58% Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 10 – 43% No: 13 – 57% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 11 – 46% No: 13 – 54% Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$500,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (12) Number of respondents: 25 | Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (8) Number of respondents: 18 | Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (9) Number of respondents: 20 |
| | Yes: 13 – 57% No: 10 – 43% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 12 – 55% No: 10 – 45% Number of respondents: 22 | Yes: 12 – 56% No: 10 – 44% Number of respondents: 22 | 3. Coverage equivalent to ISO Pollution Liability – Broadened coverage for covered Autos Endorsement (CA 99 48) when hazardous waste is hauled. | | |
| III. Workers Compensation | | | | | | |
| 1. Workers' Compensation (Employers' Liability Limits) | E.L. Ea. Acc: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (14) Number of respondents: 23 | E.L. Ea. Acc: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (14) Number of respondents: 22 | E.L. Ea. Acc: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (15) Number of respondents: 23 | E.L. Dis. – Ea. Emp: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (13) Number of respondents: 23 | E.L. Dis. – Ea. Emp: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (13) Number of respondents: 22 | E.L. Dis. – Ea. Emp: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (14) Number of respondents: 23 |
| | E.L. Dis – Pol. Lim: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (13) Number of respondents: 22 | E.L. Dis – Pol. Lim: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (13) Number of respondents: 22 | E.L. Dis – Pol. Lim: a) Min: \$100,000 b) Max: \$1,000,000 c) Mode: \$1,000,000 (14) Number of respondents: 23 | 2. Actual waiver of subrogation endorsement obtained for WC | | |
| | Yes: 13 – 54% No: 11 – 46% Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 12 – 52% No: 11 – 48% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 12 – 50% No: 12 – 50% Number of respondents: 24 | | | |
| IV. Builder's Risk | | | | | | |
| 1. Do you require Builders' Risk? a) Required on completed value basis? | Yes: 22 – 88% | a) Required on completed value basis? | Yes: 22 – 96% | a) Required on completed value basis? | Yes: 22 – 92% | a) Required on completed value basis? |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| b) Purchased by Airport or Contractor? | No: 3 – 12% Number of respondents: 25 | Yes: 17 – 89% No: 2 – 11% Number of respondents: 19 | No: 1 - 4% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 19 – 90% No: 2 – 10% Number of respondents: 21 | No: 2 – 8% Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 19 – 86% No: 3 – 14% Number of respondents: 22 |
| b) Purchased by Airport or Contractor? | b) Purchased by Airport or Contractor? Airport: 6 – 27% Contractor: 14 – 64% Both: 2 – 9% Number of respondents: 22 | | b) Purchased by Airport or Contractor? Airport: 9 – 41% Contractor: 13 – 62% Number of respondents: 22 | | b) Purchased by Airport or Contractor? Airport: 9 – 39% Contractor: 12 – 52% Both: 2 – 9% Number of respondents: 23 | |
| 2. Do you “roll up” this type of project into your main property program? | Yes: 13 – 52% No: 12 – 48% Number of respondents: 25 | | Yes: 11 – 46% No: 13 – 54% Number of respondents: 24 | | Yes: 12 – 48% No: 13 – 52% Number of respondents: 25 | |
| 3. Does the contractor pay a share of the deductible? a) How much? | Yes: 16 – 67% No: 8 – 34% Number of respondents: 24 | (a) Min: -- Max: -- Mode: 100% (8) Number of respondents: 13 | Yes: 16 – 67% No: 8 – 33% Number of respondents: 24 | (a) Min: -- Max: -- Mode: 100% (7) Number of respondents: 13 | Yes: 16 – 67% No: 8 – 33% Number of respondents: 24 | (a) Min: -- Max: -- Mode: 100% (8) Number of respondents: 13 |
| 4. Airport added as: | Loss payee: 6 – 32% Additional Insured: 7 – 37% Both: 6 – 31% Number of respondents: 19 | | Loss payee: 7 – 39% Additional Insured: 5 – 28% Both: 6 – 33% Number of respondents: 18 | | Loss payee: 5 – 26% Additional Insured: 7 – 37% Both: 7 – 37% Number of respondents: 19 | |

V. Contractors' Pollution Liability

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1. Contractors' Pollution Liability | Yes: 14 – 56% No: 11 – 44% Number of respondents: 25 | Min: \$500,000 Max: \$20,000,000 Mode: \$2,000,000 (4) and \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 12 | Yes: 13 – 54% No: 11 – 46% Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$20,000,000 Mode: \$2,000,000 (4) and \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 11 | Yes: 13 – 54% No: 11 – 46% Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$20,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (5) Number of respondents: 12 |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|

VI. Owners and Contractor's Protective

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Owners and Contractor's Protective | Yes: 4 – 17% No: 20 – 83% Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$5,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (1) Number of respondents: 1 | Yes: 3 – 13% No: 20 – 87% Number of respondents: 23 | Min: \$5,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (1) Number of respondents: 1 | Yes: 4 – 17% No: 20 – 83% Number of respondents: 24 | Min: \$5,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (1) Number of respondents: 1 |
| 2. If you obtain Owners Protective, do you waive additional insured status on contractor's CGL? | Yes: 1 – 17% No: 5 – 83% | | Yes: 1 – 17% No: 5 – 83% | | Yes: 1 – 17% No: 5 – 83% | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | Number of respondents: 6 | Number of respondents: 6 | Number of respondents: 6 |
| VII. Professional Liability (E&O) | | | |
| 1. Do you require the general contractor (GC to carry professional liability (E&O) coverage for design-build projects? | Yes: 20 – 83% No: 4 – 17% Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 20 – 87% No: 3 – 13% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 20 – 83% No: 4 – 17% Number of respondents: 24 |
| a) If yes, do you require E&O coverage to address pollution, mold, hazardous materials...? | Yes: 9 – 45% No: 11 – 54% Number of respondents: 20 | Yes: 9 – 45% No: 11 – 55% Number of respondents: 20 | Yes: 10 – 48% No: 11 – 52% Number of respondents: 21 |
| b) E&O Limits: (a) Per Occurrence (b) Aggregate Limit | (a) Min: \$500,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 20 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 17 | (a) Min: \$500,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 18 |
| | | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (6) Number of respondents: 15 | (a) Min: \$500,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (9) Number of respondents: 19 |
| | | | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 16 |
| c) Practice Policy or Project Specific or Not Specified | Practice Policy: 2 – 9% Project Specific: 5 – 24% Not Specified: 13 – 62% Project Specific & Not Specified: 1 – 5% Number of respondents: 21 | Practice Policy: 1 – 5% Project Specific: 5 – 26% Not Specified: 13 – 68% Number of respondents: 19 | Practice Policy: 3 – 16% Project Specific: 4 – 21% Not Specified: 12 – 63% Number of respondents: 19 |
| 2. Do you require the same limits of E&O from design firms subcontracting to the GC? | Yes: 17 – 71% No: 7 – 29% Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 17 – 74% No: 6 – 26% Number of respondents: 23 | Yes: 18 – 75% No: 6 – 25% Number of respondents: 24 |
| 3. When contracting directly with an Architectural/Engineering (A/E) firm do you require E&O? (not design build) | Yes: 25 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 25 | Yes: 24 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 25 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 25 |
| a) E&O limits: (a) Per Occurrence (b) Aggregate Limit | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (9) Number of respondents: 20 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (9) Number of respondents: 18 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (8) Number of respondents: 19 |
| | | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 17 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (12) Number of respondents: 20 |
| | | | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (8) Number of respondents: 18 |
| b) Practice Policy or Project Specific or Not Specified | Practice Policy: 1 – 4% Project Specific: 8 – 33% Not Specified: 14 – 59% Practice Policy & Not Specified: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 24 | Practice Policy: 1 – 4% Project Specific: 8 – 35% Not Specified: 13 – 57% Practice Policy & Not Specified: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 23 | Practice Policy: 2 – 9% Project Specific: 8 – 35% Not Specified: 12 – 52% Practice Policy & Not Specified: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 23 |
| 4. Do you require the same E&O limits of A/E firms subcontracting to the prime? | Yes: 17 – 71% No: 7 – 29% | Yes: 16 – 70% No: 7 – 30% | Yes: 17 – 71% No: 7 – 29% |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | Number of respondents: 24 | | Number of respondents: 23 | | Number of respondents: 24 | |
| 5. Also, when contracting directly (not design build), do you require the GC to carry E&O? | Yes: 10 – 42% No: 14 – 58% | | Yes: 10 – 43% No: 13 – 57% | | Yes: 11 – 46% No: 13 – 54% | |
| | Number of respondents: 24 | | Number of respondents: 23 | | Number of respondents: 24 | |
| a) E&O limits: (a) Per Occurrence (b) Aggregate Limit | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 7 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 7 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 7 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 7 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 8 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (3) Number of respondents: 8 |
| b) Practice Policy or Project Specific or Not Specified | Practice Policy: 1 – 11% Project Specific: 2 – 22% Not Specified: 6 – 67% Number of respondents: 9 | | Practice Policy: 1 – 11% Project Specific: 2 – 22% Not Specified: 6 – 67% Number of respondents: 9 | | Practice Policy: 1 – 10% Project Specific: 2 – 20% Not Specified: 6 – 60% Practice Policy & Project Specific: 1 – 10% Number of respondents: 10 | |
| 6. Do you hire a Project Management Support Consultant in addition to GC to carry professional liability (E&O) coverage? | Yes: 18 – 78% No: 5 – 22% Number of respondents: 23 | | Yes: 17 – 77% No: 5 – 23% Number of respondents: 22 | | Yes: 18 – 78% No: 5 – 22% Number of respondents: 23 | |
| a) E&O limits: (a) Per Occurrence (b) Aggregate Limit | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (6) Number of respondents: 14 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (4) Number of respondents: 11 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (6) Number of respondents: 12 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$10,000,000 Mode: \$5,000,000 (3) Number of respondents: 9 | (a) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$50,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (7) Number of respondents: 13 | (b) Min: \$1,000,000 Max: \$5,000,000 Mode: \$1,000,000 (3) and \$5,000,000 (3) Number of respondents: 9 |
| b) Practice Policy or Project Specific or Not Specified | Practice Policy: 3 – 19% Project Specific: 3 – 19% Not Specified: 10 – 62% Number of respondents: 16 | | Practice Policy: 3 – 21% Project Specific: 3 – 21% Not Specified: 8 – 57% Number of respondents: 14 | | Practice Policy: 3 – 21% Project Specific: 3 – 21% Not Specified: 7 – 50% Practice Policy & Project Specific: 1 – 7% Number of respondents: 14 | |
| 7. Do you Require an extended reporting period for ALL E&O policies? | Yes: 14 – 61% No: 9 – 39% Number of respondents: 23 | How Long? Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (5) Number of respondents: 13 | Yes: 13 – 59% No: 9 – 41% Number of respondents: 22 | How Long? Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (4) Number of respondents: 12 | Yes: 12 – 56% No: 10 – 44% Number of respondents: 22 | How Long? Min: 1 year Max: 10 years Mode: 3 years (5) Number of respondents: 12 |
| VIII. General Insurance Provisions | | | | | | |
| 1. Specify Best's Rating for all policies | Yes: 22 – 88% No: 3 – 12% Number of | Ratings - Most Common: A- (6) Number of | Yes: 21 – 88% No: 3 – 12% Number of | Ratings - Most Common: A- (6) Number of | Yes: 21 – 84% No: 4 – 16% Number of | Ratings - Most Common: A- (5) Number of |

| | respondents: 25 | respondents: 21 | respondents: 24 | respondents: 21 | respondents: 25 | respondents: 21 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Subcontractors subject to same limits as GC? | Yes: 16 – 64% No: 9 – 36% Number of respondents: 25 | | Yes: 15 – 63% No: 9 – 37% Number of respondents: 24 | | Yes: 15 – 60% No: 10 – 40% Number of respondents: 25 | |
| 3. Certificate of insurance required | Yes: 22 – 96% No: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 23 | | Yes: 22 – 96% No: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 23 | | Yes: 23 – 96% No: 1 – 4% Number of respondents: 24 | |
| 4. Agreement specifies that insurance of Airport Authority is secondary and excess of insurance required in Agreement which is to be primary. | Yes: 22 – 88% No: 3 – 12% Number of respondents: 25 | | Yes: 21 – 88% No: 3 – 12% Number of respondents: 24 | | Yes: 22 – 88% No: 3 – 12% Number of respondents: 25 | |
| 5. # of days for cancellation notice to airport specified. | Yes: 25 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 25 | # of days: Min: 10 days Max: 60 days Mode: 30 days (18) Number of respondents: 26 | Yes: 24 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 24 | # of days: Min: 10 days Max: 60 days Mode: 30 days (17) Number of respondents: 24 | Yes: 25 – 100% No: 0 Number of respondents: 25 | # of days: Min: 10 days Max: 60 days Mode: 30 days (18) Number of respondents: 25 |
| 6. Agreements limit the amount of deductible/self-insurance. (Four-part question, a-d) | (a) Yes: 10 – 43% No: 13 – 57% Number of respondents: 23 | | (a) Yes: 10 – 43% No: 13 – 57% Number of respondents: 23 | | (a) Yes: 10 – 43% No: 13 – 57% Number of respondents: 23 | |
| | (b) Deductible/Self Insurance WC: -Min: -- -Max: -- -Mode: \$1,000 Number of respondents: 1 CGL: -Min: \$5,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: \$5,000 (3) Number of respondents: 6 Auto: -Min: \$5,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: \$5,000 (2) Number of respondents: 4 | | (b) Deductible/Self Insurance WC: -Min: -- -Max: -- -Mode: \$1,000 Number of respondents: 1 CGL: -Min: \$5,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: \$5,000 (3) Number of respondents: 6 Auto: -Min: \$10,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: -- Number of respondents: 2 | | (b) Deductible/Self Insurance WC: -Min: -- -Max: -- -Mode: \$1,000 Number of respondents: 1 CGL: -Min: \$5,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: \$5,000 (3) Number of respondents: 5 Auto: -Min: \$10,000 -Max: \$1,000,000 -Mode: -- Number of respondents: 2 | |
| | (c) Required approval by airport? Yes: 9 – 82% No: 2 – 18% | | (c) Required approval by airport? Yes: 9 – 82% No: 2 – 18% | | (c) Required approval by airport? Yes: 10 – 83% No: 2 – 17% | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | <p>Number of respondents: 11</p> <p>(d) What department?</p> <p>Most Common: Risk Management (7)</p> <p>Number of respondents: 10</p> | <p>Number of respondents: 11</p> <p>(d) What department?</p> <p>Most Common: Risk Management (7)</p> <p>Number of respondents: 10</p> | <p>Number of respondents: 12</p> <p>(d) What department?</p> <p>Most Common: Risk Management (6)</p> <p>Number of respondents: 11</p> |
| 7. If deductible exceeds your allowable limit, do you have contractors buy the deductible down? | <p>Yes: 7 – 39%</p> <p>No: 11 – 61%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 18</p> | <p>Yes: 7 – 39%</p> <p>No: 11 – 61%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 18</p> | <p>Yes: 8 – 42%</p> <p>No: 11 – 58%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 19</p> |
| 8. Certificates must show deductibles/self-insurance. | <p>Yes: 15 – 62%</p> <p>No: 9 – 38%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 14 – 61%</p> <p>No: 9 – 39%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 23</p> | <p>Yes: 15 – 63%</p> <p>No: 9 – 37%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> |
| 9. Does Agreement state failure of airport to review/obtain insurance documentation does not relieve contractor of compliance? | <p>Yes: 22 – 88%</p> <p>No: 3 – 12%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 21 – 88%</p> <p>No: 3 – 12%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 21 – 84%</p> <p>No: 4 – 16%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> |
| 10. Waiver of subrogation required on all lines? | <p>Yes: 20 – 80%</p> <p>No: 5 – 20%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 79%</p> <p>No: 5 – 21%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 76%</p> <p>No: 6 – 24%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> |
| 11. Does agreement state contractor is responsible for all deductible/retentions? | <p>Yes: 20 – 80%</p> <p>No: 5 – 20%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 20 – 83%</p> <p>No: 4 – 17%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 20 – 80%</p> <p>No: 5 – 20%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> |
| 12. Contractor to provide certified copies of insurance policies upon Airport's written request. | <p>Yes: 23 – 92%</p> <p>No: 2 – 8%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 21 – 91%</p> <p>No: 2 – 9%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 23</p> | <p>Yes: 22 – 92%</p> <p>No: 2 – 8%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> |
| 13. No Representation of Coverage Adequacy. Owner does not represent that coverage and limits will necessarily be adequate to protect Contractor and such coverage and limits shall no be deemed as a limitation on Contractor's liability under the indemnities granted to Owner in this contract. | <p>Yes: 20 – 80%</p> <p>No: 5 – 20%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> | <p>Yes: 18 – 75%</p> <p>No: 6 – 25%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 19 – 76%</p> <p>No: 6 – 24%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 25</p> |
| 14. Cross – Liability Coverage or Standard ISO separation of insureds provision. | <p>Yes: 15 – 62%</p> <p>No: 9 – 38%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 16 – 70%</p> <p>No: 7 – 30%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 23</p> | <p>Yes: 15 – 63%</p> <p>No: 9 – 37%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> |
| 15. Failure to maintain insurance may result in contract termination. | <p>Yes: 23 – 96%</p> <p>No: 1 – 4%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> | <p>Yes: 21 – 95%</p> <p>No: 1 – 5%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 22</p> | <p>Yes: 23 – 96%</p> <p>No: 1 – 5%</p> <p>Number of respondents: 24</p> |
| 16. What department at your airports reviews insurance documents (e.g. certs) for compliance? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Admin ▪ Airport Contracting Dept. & Risk Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Admin ▪ Airport Contracting Dept. & Risk Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Admin ▪ Airport Contracting Dept. & Risk Management |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Aviation, Design Construction Services ▪ Construction – Project Manager ▪ Engineering ▪ Engineering & Risk Management ▪ Insurance ▪ Legal & Risk Management ▪ Multiple ▪ Operations ▪ Outsourced, Risk, Engineering ▪ Project Management & Risk Management ▪ Properties ▪ Property & Business Development/Risk Management ▪ Purchasing/Legal ▪ Risk Management (Most Common: 9) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Aviation, Design Construction Services ▪ Construction – Project Manager ▪ Engineering ▪ Engineering & Risk Management ▪ Insurance ▪ Legal & Risk Management ▪ Multiple ▪ Operations ▪ Outsourced, Risk, Engineering ▪ Project Management and Risk Management ▪ Properties ▪ Property & Business Development/Risk Management ▪ Purchasing/Legal ▪ Risk Management (Most Common: 8) ▪ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Aviation, Design Construction Services ▪ Construction – Project Manager ▪ Engineering ▪ Engineering & Risk Management ▪ Legal ▪ Legal & Risk Management ▪ Multiple ▪ Operations ▪ Outsource, Risk, Engineering ▪ Project Management and Risk Management ▪ Properties ▪ Property & Business Development/Risk Management ▪ Purchasing/Legal ▪ Risk Management (Most Common: 8) |
| | Number of respondents: 25 | Number of respondents: 24 | Number of respondents: 25 |

IX. Comments

BNA: For Nashville, under Builder's Risk, it varies by project on whether it is obtained by the Airport or the GC. We price it out with both Airport and GC to provide coverage and usually take which ever provides us the best economic costs.

SAC: Aside from an OCIP for recent major construction, we use annual term policies (practice policies). Had not thought of adding "reinstatement of aggregate limits" to T&C, have required "Per job" or "Per Location" GL endorsement.

SAN: The Airport insures the vast majority of construction projects under a rolling wrap-up or a design-build OCIP. Only small projects (less than \$2million) are insured outside of our OCIP(S). The response to the survey are indications of the insurance requirements that appear reasonable to apply to the 3 examples.

SEA: In regards to Section VII - Question #5 and whether we require non-design build contractors to carry professional liability (e/o) coverage – At Sea-Tac we state in the insurance requirements for the general liability coverage that the contractor shall not use any endorsements (such as CG 22 79 or CG 2243) that would exclude coverage for property damage or bodily injury arising out of professional services that the contractor normally performs as a contractor (construction means, methods, sequences, and techniques). If these exclusions are attached to the GL, then we make the general contractor purchase a professional liability policy. In regards to Section VII-Question #6 – If we hire a separate Project Management Consultant, to support a construction project, we may or may not require this consultant (or the firm) to provide professional liability coverage. Risk Management will look at the scope of work of the consultant's contract to determine what we are asking the consultant to do. If the scope of work directs the consultant to review design changes, review shop drawings, negotiate change orders, approve other submittal documents, etc.... we then require the E/O coverage. If the work is more administrative in nature, usually illustrated by lower fees per hour, then we would not require this coverage. In regards to Section IV – Question #1 on builder risk coverage – At Sea-Tac our first option is to always try to get the project included onto our main property insurance program coverage form, which has "course of construction coverage". This is a better rate and lower deductible. However, these have to be scheduled and approved by the underwriter. There are times when we would purchase a separate builder risk policy. For example, some projects in which we receive State or Federal funding, requires the project to be fully insured including flood and earthquake, which we don't have on our main program. Or, the construction project is above \$50 million which is the maximum for which we can normally add construction projects onto our main property program. Or, we are doing work with another public entity and they wish to have a separate builder risk policy. In regards to Section IV – Question #4 – If we/Sea-Tac specify that the contractor is to buy the builder risk policy we would be loss payee and additional insured on the policy. In regards to Section IV, Question #3. For projects in which the work is covered under our main property insurance program, the Contractor is responsible for deductibles which are normally capped at \$50,000. For projects above \$50 million in value, we buy a separate builder risk policy and there is normally some deductible sharing structure for property losses if the deductible is more than \$100,000. In regards to Section VIII, Question #6. We do not allow self-insurance when we have a requirement for Professional Liability (E&O) coverage. In regards to Section VIII, Question #6(b) – If a contractor has a deductible of between \$250,000 to \$ 1 million then they just need to disclose this to us. If the deductible is greater than \$ 1 million, then we formally call their "deductible" as self-insurance and we have contract language that addresses how we would approve it; or as an alternative they can always buy the deductible down. In regards to Section VIII, Question #11 – yes, for all required liability lines of coverage.

SNA: For the new concourse project; we set the limits for the subcontractors in our contract based on the trade, i.e. electrical, plumbing, mechanical, piles & caissons, concrete, steel, BRBs, Elevators, etc...